

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

SHAREBUILDER SECURITIES
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

KATHERINE RUDY, *et al.*

Defendants.

Case No. C05-1568RSL

ORDER DENYING REQUEST TO
ALTER COURT DATES

This matter comes before the Court on a letter sent by defendant Katherine Putnam, also known as Katherine Rudy. In the letter, defendant is “asking the court . . . if we can possibly have the court date moved to a future date.”¹ The Court construes the request as a motion, but denies the motion.

If defendant seeks relief from this Court, she must file a motion, and the motion must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules, particularly Local Rules 7 and 10. The Local Rules can be accessed on the Court’s website at www.wawd.uscourts.gov. Furthermore, defendant’s request is too vague to notify the Court and plaintiff regarding what is

¹ Defendant also requests that the Court appoint counsel, which the Court will address in a separate order.

sought. If defendant seeks an extension of a pending deadline or date, her motion should specify the date(s) and provide good cause for an extension.

DATED this 5th day of January, 2007.



Robert S. Lasnik
United States District Judge